

# Merrill, Hannah

---

**Subject:** RE: SMP RESTORATION Another layer of Opression

---

**From:** pearl hewett [REDACTED]

**Sent:** Thursday, April 04, 2013 4:29 PM

**To:** zSMP

**Cc:** Karl Spees; harry bell; notac@olypen.com; [REDACTED]; Brian and Brooke; Jim Boyer; Randy Dutton; info@justwateralliance.org; Jo Anne Estes; Vi; Jay Petersen; Keith Olson; Rick Forschler -; Frank M Penwell; marv chastain; [REDACTED]; Lois Perry; Sue Forde; Sandy Rains; Don; [REDACTED]; Delane Hewett; Tristin Hewett; joni howard

**Subject:** SMP RESTORATION Another layer of Opression

## [SMP Another layer of Opression](#)

Posted on [April 4, 2013 3:18 pm](#) by [Pearl Rains Hewett](#) [Comment](#)

### SMP RESTORATION PLAN

As a dedicated volunteer I read the whole thing, checked out all the maps and charts. And, as usual, after reading any part of the SMP Draft Update, I am an exasperated private property owner.

How does the owner of nearly pristine, undeveloped private property, mitigate with restoration to develop investment property, owned as investment property and paying taxes on the property for over 60 years?

Per Steve Gray there are 3300 private property owners that shall be affected by the SMP Update (not including owners of wetlands).

RESTORATION for the 3300, mitigation for the 3300, taking of property value by regulation for the 3300, non-conforming, questionable financing, 20% view, no full use and enjoyment of private property for the 3300?

The Shoreline Planners Meeting, Sept 26, 2012, a 39 page report by ESA Adolfson Margret Clancy, with a red star on your undeveloped (threat) private property?

[http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/sea/shorelines/smp/toolbox/docs/summer2012\\_measuring\\_nonetloss.pdf](http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/sea/shorelines/smp/toolbox/docs/summer2012_measuring_nonetloss.pdf)

The failure to mention the 347 page restoration plan on Puget Sound done by the Army Corp of Engineers and WDFW?

I found no restoration plan on the Dungeness River for the 75% bird poop and 34 other wild mammals contamination?

---

### WHAT IS THE ECONOMIC FUTURE OF CLALLAM COUNTY?

Our Elected Clallam County officials are operating under state and federal rule?

Under the Clallam County SMP Update (threat of all development) restrictions? and the Dungeness Water Rule?

In a county with only 17.1% or less tax basis? over 10% unemployment? and the impending reduction of property value by the county assessor for the taking of property value associated with the SMP Update restrictions? wetlands? and the Dungeness Water Rule?

In a county with 83% government and tribal owned land, the 3300 have their land confiscated for endangered, threatened and sensitive species?

In a county with 83% government and tribal owned land, giving 51% public access to public land, the highest of any county in WA State, DOE Jeffree is demanding MORE public access from the 3300 private shoreline property owners?

The SMP Update keeps inserting private forest land now found in the restoration plan?

The 3300 private property owners are guilty until they can prove their innocence, at their own expense with expensive EXPERT testing, to scientifically prove that their property is not a critical area, a wetland, a FEMA flood plain, etc.

---

RESTORATION? As with every other section of the Clallam County Shoreline Update, it is just another layer of Federal and State government oppression, taking our constitutional and private property rights.

This entry was posted in [Economic Impact](#), [Private Property Rights](#), [Shoreline Management Plan](#), [WA State Dept. of Ecology](#). Bookmark the [permalink](#). [Edit](#)