

# Merrill, Hannah

---

**From:** Karl Spees [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 09, 2013 9:30 PM  
**To:** zSMP; Karl Spees  
**Subject:** Fwd: Proposed 'Shoreline Management Plan Update' Comment by Karl Spees, MD (retired)

Steve Grey

Please submit this as my comment to the SMA/SMP Update Committee.

Thx

Karl

\*\*\*\*\*  
\*\*\*\*\*

Comment to SMA/SMP Update 12/12/12

*“There are two ways to conquer and enslave a nation.... One is by sword...the other is by debt.” John Adams 1826*

On 12/11/12 I attended the morning session of the SMA/SMP Update Committee. During that period we had a presentation by

**JIM JOHANNESSEN, Licensed Engineering Geologist, MS**

***COASTAL GEOLOGIC SERVICES, INC.***

for Dept. of Ecology, a geology trained expert on Drift Cells and Feeder Bluffs.

Feeder Bluffs and Drift Cells are terms/concept used in the (current) SMA/SMP Update process. These terms are consistent with the ‘new science’ and understanding used by the Department of Ecology, DoE as a pretext for expanding governmental control of private property. This concept superficially sounds credible but is overly simplistic, flawed, and conveniently supports the predetermined solutions/agenda of the DoE who are surrogates of our current partisan regime.

The Environmental Protection Agency, EPA, and the United Nations have based much of their expansion of power and control on ‘New Science’. The ‘New Science’ of human production of Carbon Dioxide, falsely identified it as a ‘major greenhouse gas’. It is their pretext for expanding

governmental control of wealth, behavior, and energy resources. This is the same template, we see now with our out-of-control DoE using to expand their control of our privately owned shorelines (and groundwater). Invent a credible 'new understanding' of established science, modify it as necessary to support the governmental predetermined objective. Stated simply: establish a false pretext, use a false premise, or use a real (or imagined) crisis to achieve an objective one could not otherwise accomplish.

Sadly many of our institutions of higher learning have become centers for perpetuation of these, half baked 'new science' theories, indoctrination centers. Theory and science has been replaced by dogma and agenda. One can learn to regurgitate them by rote. This dogma sounds logical but does not hold up to modest scientific scrutiny. We must understand in these self-serving presentations, if the 'scientist' questions the validity of the 'New Science', he or she would very quickly find themselves unemployed by the 'government'. I question the integrity and/or the sophistication of this 'hired specialist' which makes him human. I also question the Department of Ecology's integrity which makes them corrupt, tyrannical, partisan, bureaucratic parasites. With a bit of a stretch one could throw in treasonous. They are operating against principle of our State and Federal Constitutions and the best interest of the citizens.

As a keenly interested layman I have spent hundreds of hours studying Olympic Peninsula shoreline geomorphology. The majority of that time has been on the Peninsula beaches mostly where there are bluff. Jim J. has used the proper terminology in a sophisticated manner. His expertise is not being challenged here.

The Concept of Drift Cells is a markedly oversimplification of factors related to shoreline landforms. Drift Cells fueled by feeder bluffs is a cartoon. Like cartoons it makes a point in a simple manner. It is politicalization of a complex dynamic process by conveniently omitting inconvenient realities. (Our air, public land, human waste disposal, and groundwater have been similarly politicized.)

Most hucksters and flimflam artists (the DoE) and their skills (ESA Adolfson etc.) back off and take their scam elsewhere when the marks (the DCD Director, the County Commissioners, and members of the general public) get wise to them. (The 'Man Mad Global Warming' scammers haven't) I suppose it is too much, to expect the Washington State Dept. of Ecology to back off. They take liberties with our rights just 'because they can'. It is the job of our local elected officials to let these unelected shysters know that imposing their dysfunctional decrees on us is done under duress and protest.

The concept of the current landform being the 'gold standard' for the future is arbitrary and wishful. Using this model maybe somewhat reproducible but will absolutely not predict the changes in landform 10 years from now, with or without human intervention. We have an extremely timely example of how phony this new concept is. The Elwha Lakes and dams have recently been removed. (This was a surreptitious predetermined expansion of governmental power based on a series of false premises shoved down the throats of our citizenry.) Surprise! Surprise! We have a sandbar forming on the shoreline just off the mouth of the Elwha (December 2012) that has nothing to do with 'drift cell' dynamics or 'feeder bluffs'.

Regardless of whether the SMA/SMP Update is accepted or rejected, nothing will change the reality that the intricately studied, mapped, and catalogued Clallam shoreline will be changed 10 years from now. The data gathered will be obsolete. The data gathered will only be valuable in measuring the amount of unpredictable changes. **Shoreline are changed by high energy events**, not the events catalogued by Jim J. (at an extremely high cost to public treasury). Storms, high wind wave swells events, tsunami, frost heave, harmonics, floods, earth shifts, seismic events (earth quakes) and other high energy forces of nature will determine future shorelines. Shoreline will be little modified by the normal daily events used by these ‘feather merchants’ in their data collections. The data is not the problem. The showcasing of the data ‘in process’ is being used by these charlatans to legitimize their existence. These feather merchants just love ‘process’, implications and prudent realities be damned.

One premise given to justify much of this convoluted DoE SMA/SMP Update template is the ‘cities’ of Washington have destroyed the natural features of their shorelines. In effect our Clallam County private property owners will be burdened with paying for the ‘problems’ and transgression of previous private/government modifications of the shorelines in our urban areas. Instead of studying and fixing the problems of the cities, the DoE is shifting the blame and using the transgression of past administrations and cities to marginalize private property owners in our County. The DoE expansion of government control is being paid for by the very citizens who will be most adversely affected. **Fix Seattle & Tacoma’s Shoreline with their own City money. Don’t come to Clallam County etc., use our money, confiscate our property, and regulate our behavior in manners contrary to ‘our best interests’.** (The SMA/SMP Update is not about ecology and the environment, it is about power and control by a remote central government.)

Just the names ‘drift CELL’ and ‘FEEDER bluff’ are mixing biological terms with inorganic geological descriptive terms. It codifies the para-scientific/religious concept that the planet is a supreme entity. ‘Balancing the planets needs with human needs’. ‘For the greater good’. The blind allegiance to accepting this predetermined SMA/SMP Update and much of the visceral popular support of the SMA/SMP Update is psychological judo. Balancing the rights of the Planet with the Constitutional rights of the citizens may sound good but is inconsistent with and violates our God given Constitutionally mandated individual rights. The insistence of using these terms/concepts for the SMA/SMP Update is best thought of (categorized) as substituting a State imposed cult religious terms for established scientific terms.

‘Drift Cells’ and ‘Feeder Bluffs’ are a subtle manipulation/

perversion of the language which is a very well established technique the ‘Progressives’ and globalists use to incrementally advance their agenda. (Our citizen leaders need to increase their sophistication and vigilance to arrest and reverse this purposeful advancement of a radical dysfunctional agenda.) These concepts should be rejected. There are established scientific ways to describe geomorphology and geological dynamics. Insist upon their use.

The Clallam County’s’ shorelines physical description have been established at least twenty plus years ago. When I moved to the Peninsula there was a very sophisticated geology reference book in the old County library which extensively mapped the County and its shoreline. I am sure such real

scientific information still exists at a far lower price tag than the taxpayers are spending now. The DoE is redistributing, and extorting taxpayers money, money we can ill afford to squander.

Draconian governmental regulation tends to inhibit plant and wildlife habitat diversity (think in terms of Himalaya black berries and Scotch broom). The free will of independent citizens tend to encourage good stewardship and habitat diversity. Habitat diversity increases wildlife. The huge expense of regulating the private property holds no expectation of improving wildlife abundance and diversity. Expanding governmental power and control is the DoE's hidden agenda and probably will be achieved. This is kabuki theater at great public expense.

The 'cookie cutter' SMA/SMP Update that is being imposed on our County by the ESA Adolfson Co. will fundamentally changed the power structure of our citizens and government. The previous mix of County Commissioners set this plan into action by appointing the ESA Adolfson Group. ESA Adolfson have taken this plan and road show from county to county here in Washington State. Just because we had a misguided prior group of County Commissioners does not mean we must follow their ill advise mandates now, especially since we understand they are not in the County's and our best interests.

At the end of the process, the citizens will lose great freedom over use of their private property and have paid and exorbitant amount of money to achieve this dysfunctional outcome. The new SMA/SMP Update has a tremendous economic impact. The ESA Adolfson Group has ignored multiple requests to do an Economic impact study of their proposed changes.

**Despite this horrible squandering of the Taxpayers/State's precious treasury, this plan should be rejected.**

Review the old plan and keep it as is. The State government is doing a piss poor job of stewardship of the lands they now have control over. Don't compound the stupidity and irresponsibility of the SMA/SMP Update by giving the State/the regime control of more of our land.

Karl Spees, MD (retired), Lifetime Student of Natural History, concerned American, president CAPR 13 (Citizens Alliance for Property Rights), and member of the SMA/SMP Update Committee.