Merrill, Hannah

From: pearl hewett Sent: pearl hewett Sunday, November 25, 2012 10:30 PM

To: zSMP Cc: Dick Pilling

Subject: Fw: COMMENT CLALLAM COUNTY SMP DRAFT

---- Original Message -----

From: pearl hewett

To: zsmp@co.clallam.wa.us

Cc: Karl Spees; Jo Anne Estes; Sue Forde; Keith Olson; Brian and Brooke; marv chastain; Sandy Rains; Vi; harry bell; Jay Petersen; mary pierce pfaff; Lois Perry; robert crittendend; Ed B; WILLIAM PALMER; Randy Dutton; Delane Hewett; joni howard; smiller@co.clallam.wa.us; Steve Gray; jim McEntire; mike chapman;

; Don ; Tristin Hewett ; Norman MacLeod

Sent: Sunday, November 25, 2012 2:39 PM

Subject: COMMENT CLALLAM COUNTY SMP DRAFT

Comment on the CLALLAM COUNTY SMP Draft Update Pearl Rains Hewett Trustee George C. Rains Sr. Estate Member SMP Committee

GROSS NEGLIGENCE

on the part of our **ELECTED WA STATE officials** in not requiring, by LAW, an **ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT**, on ALL businesses and private property owners affected by the Shoreline Management Plan Updates in **WA State**.

Public participation **WA State RCW 90.58.130** in the update of the Clallam County SMP is one of the most

important aspects of the update process. The SMA and the SMP procedural rules and guidelines require public participation. Local governments are required to make all reasonable efforts to inform, fully involve and encourage participation

of interested persons, private entities, affected tribes and local, state and federal agencies

CLALLAM COUNTY SMP NOTIFICATION WAS PARTISAN AND BIASED

Requests for The <u>Public participation Strategy was partisan and biased</u> The first notification by Clallam County was to the <u>Chairman of the Democratic Party</u>, <u>John Marrs on 12/05/09</u>. The second request was sent to <u>FUTUREWISE</u> <u>02/24/10</u> a <u>SPECIAL INTEREST</u>, non-profit, non-taxpaying group.

At that time Clallam County was actively involved in an unsettled, (7 years + or - ?) LAWSUIT with **FUTUREWISE** a SPECIAL INTEREST, non-profit, non-taxpaying group and the Dry Creek Coalition.

I am concerned at the number of times <u>FUTUREWISE</u> a SPECIAL INTEREST, non-profit, non-taxpaying group is mentioned in the 2012 Draft SMP update. Does CLALLAM COUNTY FEAR <u>FUTUREWISE LAWSUITS?</u>

The County <u>DID SEEK</u> input, contact, notify, request public participation suggestions from <u>DEMOCRATS</u>, ecology, other appointed government agencies and other special interest groups.

Clallam County DID NOT contact, notify, request any public participation suggestions from the Chairman of the REPUBLICANS Party, the PRIVATE PROPERTY stake holders, including, realtors, the Chamber of Commerce, the Business Men's Associations, the timber industry, loggers, mills, the building industry or developers.

DOCUMENTATION online under SMP Public Comments.

2009-2010

PARTISAN AND BIASED SMP Update Public Participation Strategy

SMP Comments 2009-2010

2010: in reverse order bottom to top

- 110810 WDNR G WA State Dept of Natural Resources
- 080510 PSP G Puget Sound Partnership
- 031010 WDOE PPS WA State Dept of Ecology
- 030910 WDOE PPS WA State Dept of Ecology
- 030810 LMuench PPS Marine Resource Committee
- 030410 QuileuteNation PPS Tribal Input
- 022410 FutureWise PPS Special Interest
- 020910 JMarrs PPS Chair Democratic

2009:

• 120509 - DemComm - G John Marrs Chair Democratic

This select NOTIFICATION list CERTAINLY <u>DOES NOT</u> REPRESENT NOTIFICATION TO ALL INTERESTED persons and private groups and entities showing an interest in shoreline management programs of this chapter. AND, it certainly <u>DID NOT</u> provide ALL INTERESTED persons and private groups and entities with <u>a full opportunity for involvement in both their development and implementation.</u>

DISCRIMINATORY REPRESENTATION

Created by WA State Appointed DOE to regulate and control ONLY the SMP AFFECTED 3300 private property owners on the use of their shoreline property.

The makeup of the SMP Committee, <u>at best</u>, 1/3 paid government employees, 1\3 (tribal) and other special interest groups (all **unaffected by the SMP regulations** and control) and **ONLY 1**|3 private property owners (the real stakeholders)

A failure to make a single private property owner from the **West end** (WIRA 20) a member of the SMP Committee.

PREJUDICIAL AND BIASED

The entire SMP Update process was initiated by WA State Appointed DOE with prejudice AND was not based on the Scientific Inventory and Characterization report for <u>Clallam County</u> but was preconceived <u>PRIOR</u> and presented <u>PRIOR</u> with arbitrary comparisons of Florida east coast oranges to WA State West Coast Apples. Best described as <u>profiling</u>, without the use of LIDAR, AND, all done <u>PRIOR</u> to Clallam County Scientific "Inventory and Characterization report".

"American Shorelines are not created equally"

The first Clallam County SMP Public meeting Jan 26, 2011(by invitation only) presented by ESA Adolfson, was on Public Participation. (30 were invited, 60 showed up) Public Participation on the SMP was not the issue, FEAR of the SMP WAS!

The key word is STRATEGY, please read the 2009-2010 public participation comments online. read on if this concerns you

WA State RCW 90.58.130 states:

To insure that all persons and entities having an interest in the guidelines and master programs developed under this chapter are provided with a full opportunity for involvement in both their development and implementation, the department and local governments shall:

- (1) Make reasonable efforts to inform the people of the state about the shoreline management program of this chapter and in the performance of the responsibilities provided in this chapter, shall not only invite but actively encourage participation by all persons and private groups and entities showing an interest in shoreline management programs of this chapter; and
- (2) Invite and encourage participation by all agencies of federal, state, and local government, including municipal and public corporations, having interests or responsibilities relating to the shorelines of the state. State and local agencies are directed to participate fully to insure that their interests are fully considered by the department and local governments