

Aug. 23 - 2012

Dear Steve Gray,

As property owners at [REDACTED] Anderson Rd., we are very concerned about the major changes proposed to shoreline regulations that would affect our property.

We want you to be aware of the following points.

1) Our Dungeness Bay shoreline, enclosed by Dungeness Spit, Cline Spit and Graveyard Spit, is not high, steep or seriously eroding. It is sheltered and not subject to severe wave and current action. It should be designated as "bay", not as "priority feeder bluff".

2) The proposed 150 ft setback for the "priority feeder bluff" designation is extreme. We have seen no scientific justification presented for this great increase. The "bay" designation proposes a 50 ft setback.

3) As affected shoreline property owners, the County should keep us promptly and clearly informed about the proposed changes and their consequences and actively promote ongoing discussion.

We are also concerned about losing future sale value.

Sincerely,
Rube & Ruben Knodel



Aug. 23 - 2012

Dear Sheila Roark Miller,

As property owners at [REDACTED] Anderson Rd., we are very concerned about the major changes proposed to shoreline regulations that would affect our property.

We want you to be aware of the following points.

1) Our Dungeness Bay shoreline, enclosed by Dungeness Spit, Cline Spit and Graveyard Spit, is not high, steep or seriously eroding. It is sheltered and not subject to severe wave and current action. It should be designated as "bay", not as "priority feeder bluff".

2) The proposed 150 ft setback for the "priority feeder bluff" designation is extreme. We have seen no scientific justification presented for this great increase. The "bay" designation proposes a 50 ft setback.

3) As affected shoreline property owners, the County should keep us promptly and clearly informed about the proposed changes and their consequences and actively promote ongoing discussion.

We are also concerned about losing future sale value.

Sincerely,
Rudye & Ruben Knudsen

