

Merrill, Hannah

From: earnest spees [REDACTED]
Sent: Saturday, April 21, 2012 7:37 PM
To: Karl Spees; zSMP; zSMPC
Subject: Shoreline - SMA/SMP Public Comment

Group

Like many of the today's assaults and over-reaching by our current regimes, State and National, much of their Radical Environmental takings are being done under-the-RADAR, without the general public's knowledge.

You need to read Pearl's 'tongue-in-cheek' example. It is truly scary. Her point # 6 requires that you know what's happening. What she has written is the opposite of what is really happening. It does a beautiful job of making the point.

There are some SMA/SMP Public Hearings in a few days. They are designed to give the appearance of allowing public input. The only way you will stop them is to throw-out of elected office the bastards who hired the DoE and in their place hire, vote into office, candidates who represent 'we the people'.

Karl Spees - Pres. CAPR 13

Public Comment on the Newly Proposed SMA/SMP Setbacks

I am submitting this rant as **public comment** to the Clallam County Planning Department, SMA/SMP Shoreline Advisory Committee, and the DoE agents imposing this bureaucratic over-reach/Update/nightmare. (These are perilous time in the history of the State and the Country. It is not a time for terms couched in politically-correct-speech and soft self-censorship.)

The specific area that this rant will address are the **draconian shoreline setback changes of 150ft-175ft-10ft**. These Setbacks are unjustified and have an extraordinary economic impact on the County's private property and the powers of local officials. It will make 88% of the existing 300+ developed-properties non-conforming. The undeveloped shoreline view properties will be

extraordinarily devalued. This has a ripple affect on the tax base. It will increase costs to administer these draconian big gov. over-reaches and increase taxes on all private property owners regardless of location to compensate the central governmentally decreed devalued properties. Our County is unique; 83% is public land already and only 17% is private. **Big brother government is going after the land they don't already control.**

Unfortunately I have had some personal problems that have prevented me from submitting comments on several other outrageous aspects of the SMP. I have mislaid my notes from the previous 4 hour meeting and must rely on my best recollection of the figures. I will not attempt to find the info in this enormous newly-crafted regulatory policy. The **SMA/SMP Update is so 'massive' in length and scope**, it is taking half dozen 4 hour meeting just to have talented facilitators, indoctrinators, go over the high points of the centrally DoE imposed regulations. One would have great difficulty in retrieving some of this info and the 'creation of 88% non-compliance' of currently built private properties in the County is not part of the policy. It would not be found within the document. There is no way an elected County official could/can be knowledgeable about this complex extensive convoluted Top-Down power/control grab.

Article 1 Section 1 of the Washington State Constitution:

Political Power

All political power is inherent in the people, and governments derive their just powers from the consent of the governed, and are established to protect and maintain individual rights.

Which one of our rights is this policy protecting? Half a million was paid to ESA Adolfson to impose this stereo-typical centrally ordered plan on our County. Another one million dollars has been spent in these austere times to impose the vague and nebulous 'No Net Loss of Environmental function, NNL. In a time of economic hardship and crisis, our current elected officials primarily and specifically the progressives, the Democrats Party and the State

Governor (whose election is highly controversial) have transformed their roles as representatives and public servants to rulers and elite authoritarians. (Progressives is a re-branding of communism, Marxism, socialism.) They are using their surrogate non-elected agents and agencies, **the billion dollar/year DoE** to do their devious deceitful extremely unnecessary bidding of imposing serially on all counties of Washington State the same outrageous regulatory SMA/SMP template.

The problem now is the economy. Our precious public treasure is being squandered on this insane outrageous governmental over-reach under the thinly veiled cover of saving the environment. The problem now is not the environment. Our air and water are the cleanest they have been in 40 years. We already have redundant laws, rules, and regulations that stifle creativity and negatively impact our economy. **Only free people in a prosperous economy can afford to protect the environment.** Ultimately this is top-down administrative-pretext of 'Saving the Planet' the new State religion. This new worldwide fad cult-religion has very little to do with the environment. The Green Movement is the Progressive Movement, Commies in Camouflage. This is about concentrating central power and control, abolishing private ownership, and establishing an elite group of world managers of humans. **(This is part of the implementation of UN Agenda 21 in which the human species will be reduced by 90% of current numbers and managed just as current wildlife departments should be managing our game and other wildlife.)** (Attached is a document about a similar scheme being used in Australia, another free country that must be degraded to impose the central government of the world. Pearl's comment is much more suitable and I am skipping the Australia posting. The point is the global nature of this radical environmental activism.)

If someone took your property using a knife or a gun, you would call it theft. If someone breaks-in or sneaks into you home and steals your valuables, you would call it theft. What is the difference when

politicians, America's only criminal class (reference to Mark Twain) declare themselves rulers and hire agents, the DoE (political highwaymen/ robbers) to go about the countryside stealing private property and property rights under the guise of new unjustified environmental regulations. This is robbery and theft, just repackaged to sell to the gullible, earth worshipping faithful, Gang Green. The scoundrels orchestrating this need to be turned out of office. The local officials need to refuse to implement this citizens degrading, property rights destroying, self-serving policy. **It must be made clear who are the thieves and who are their accomplices.**

Karl Spees - Member of the Shoreline Advisory Committee & Private Property Owner on Morse Creek and the Marine Shoreline (Common ownership), Pres. CAPR 13

GIVE THEM AN INCH AND THEY'LL TAKE A MILE

I submit this as my SMP comment

Pearl Rains Hewett Trustee

George C. Rains Sr. Estate

Member SMP Advisory Committee

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN

Grandfathered is non-conforming.

The statistics introduced at the last SMP Advisory meeting, on **how many private property owners, property and single family dwellings will become non-conforming by the SMP Draft marine 175', 150' plus 10' setbacks**, has not been posted on the SMP web site. (the number was staggering)

Per Cathy Lear, they are waiting to compile the historic statistics to show **the number of how many private property owners, property and single family dwellings were non-conforming on the old SMP marine setbacks. (hindsight is 20/20)**

How have the DOE restrictions, regulations and definitions on/of non-conforming property changed since 1976?

I wrote the following as a tongue in cheek comment on the 2012 SMP Update.

After seeing the statistics on non-conforming private marine property at the last SMP meeting, it is not funny, it is frightening.

2013 OLYMPIC PENINSULA CLASSIFIED AD

FOR SALE VIEW LOT ON THE BEAUTIFUL STRAITS OF JUAN DE FUCA

100FEET X400FEET

Seller disclosure as required by Clallam County 2012 SMP Update and WA State law

This is a 100% non-conforming lot

There is a 175 foot setback from the HWL

There is a 150 setback from the feeder bluff

There is a 65 foot wetland setback

There is a 50 foot buffer zone

There is a 10 foot setback from buildings

THE GOOD NEWS

1. The buyer is left with 25% of his private property purchase

a 100X100 foot piece of private property (with a 75% loss of his usable private land)

where the buyer is free to put his 1700 sq foot home, his drain field, his parking and his deck and his garden.

2. The buyer will be allowed a 20 foot view corridor (20'X300') through the 300 feet of restricted use area of his private property. (leaving 80% of his view blocked)

3. The buyer will be allowed to limb up and remove 30% of the vegetation blocking his view every 10 years on the 100 X 300 foot restricted use area of his private property.

4. The buyer will be allowed a 6 foot wide foot path through the 300 foot restricted use area (in the view corridor) of his private property and home to the beach. (a full city block from beach)

5. Using a variance and a geological study you may be able to reduce the setbacks and buffer zones.

6. But the best news of all is the assurance by the Planning Dept. that your private property will not have any loss of value due to Clallam County's 2012 SMP Draft restrictions and regulations.