

Merrill, Hannah

From: earnest spees [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, November 30, 2011 10:37 AM
To: Karl Spees; zSMP; Merrill, Hannah
Subject: Fw: Public Testimony - SMA/SMP Update - Why Important

Please Submit the following comments as public testimony on the Clallam County SMA/SMP Update.
Karl Spees

11/30/11

Feeder Bluffs & Littoral Drift Cells- False Construct (Public Participation)

In the WRIA Process and the SMA/SMP Update Process the concept of State regulation of land use based on Feeder Bluffs and Littoral Drift Cells is a False Construct.

The DoE (WA State Dept. of Ecology) has come up with a scheme involving minutely studying the current marine shoreline and regulating human activity and private property use based on false premises.

One of the problems with the DoE Model is it oversimplifies the real science and needlessly overcomplicates the documentation of the status quo. It also assumes this is a static shoreline model, it assumes homogeneity of geomorphology, and focuses on a very thin set of data points (the shoreline) which has a minimal impact on the process of Littoral Drift. Whether by design or accident, one tends to become lost in the process and fails to appreciate the outrageous and unreasonable nature of the whole proceedings/ scheme.

Feeder Bluffs driven policy is equivalent to basing the weight of a store-bought-frozen chicken on how much chicken poop they washed off the bird during the butchering process. It is extremely irrelevant. Despite a fraudulent nature the DoE is trying to pull-off this scam. It is a false construct or model. The fact that ESA Adolfson and the other agents are driving this faulty process forward illustrates their profound lack of understanding of the science involved (and/or lack of scientific integrity). Since the majority of the public are equipped to understand, the DoE agents can get by with this deception.

What bothers me personally is the tremendous amount of public money and resources spent on this scheme/sham/scam based on delusional reality or fraudulent false science. The perps being the DoE and their operatives such as ESA Adolfson. Our own elected officials selected these perps to do their dirty work/to fulfil their ulterior partisan motives (The prototype of this scheme/scam /sham is Man Made Global Warming, Anthropogenic Global Warming, Man Made Global Cooling, or Man Made Climate Change. Al Gore being the poster child of this absurdity)

The shoreline of today is not the same as it was 10 years ago or will be 10 years in the future regardless of man's activities. State agencies and bureaucrats seem to see the earth's timeline starting with them and lasting their term. Earth processes occur in billions of years and a millennium is hardly worth mentioning. If all human

life/activity disappeared, in a millennium the earth would erase most evidence of human historical activities. Detroit is an good example of the end point of overreaching deceptive dysfunctional governmental regulations and policies like this scheme. Today's cities of Nagasaki and Hiroshima are good examples of the insignificance of humans' current and historical activities on the future of the planet. When the SMA/SMP law was adopted by the Washington State citizens, we had some very significant environmental problems that needed to be regulated and fixed. Those have been addressed. The current artificial urgency,/artificial crisis used to shift private property rights/control into governmental (dysfunctional) regulatory control is a perversion of the SMA/SMP process. The reality is that private property owners are much better stewards of their own property than the government has been or will be.

Our shoreline morphology or shape is based on natural forces far more significant than man's influence. The shoreline is minimally affected by what happens in the artificially designated "Littoral Drift Cells". It is moderately affected in the short term (centuries and millenniums) by what happens within the 20 mile Strait's width and the 600 ft. deep underwater depth of the (unseen) Straits of Juan de Fuca. (During hundreds of hours and many activities I have spent within the littoral zone primarily adjacent to Morse Creek, I have had the inclination to study the fascinating historical geological processes occurring along the Straits.) We have areas of glacial till with frequent slides and other areas of similar composition with infrequent slides. Some areas seem more 'mature' with gentle slopes and some others more 'immature' meaning the calved/calving/caving material (aggregate, sand, and clay) of feeder bluffs/cliffs/very steep areas, are being swept away. We have igneous, sedimentary, and metamorphic areas (Clallam Straits shoreline) that all have their unique rate of degradation. Much of the forces driving this process are influenced by what is occurring in the sea, areas we don't visualize. The contour of the shoreline is the sum total of a complex number of forces we don't see and don't fully understand. **Even though there are tons and tons of material in a feeder bluff caving/calving, in the big scheme of things their contribution is extremely minimal to the cubic miles of material involved in this process.**

A short list of the forces affecting the shoreline are: tides, swells, surge/swell/wave harmonics and synergism, wind, storms, underwater channels, interaction of fresh water floating on saltwater, erosion and the more rare catastrophic events of slides, floods, ice dams, channel migration (on land and off) earth quakes, tectonic plate movement, tsunami's, catastrophic fires, volcanic events, magma flows, earth climate cycles, etc.

The DoE's artificial constructs of Feeder Bluffs and Littoral Drift Cells, self-serving manipulated scientific principles, natural history, and false science/pseudo-science just are not valid reasons to transfer power and control of private property rights and ignore State Constitutional/ legal protections of private property ownership with its rights and privileges. The pretext (the touchy-feely reasons) for all of this sham are clean air and water, the fish, and the environment. It is hardly about any of these valuable stewardship objects which are appreciated by most of us. It is about absolute control (micromanagement) by central government. It is justified by the Saul Alinsky axiom, the *end justifies the means*. The ultimate goal of this central governmental control/power grab, the re-wilding/restoration movement, is UN Agenda 21 plan. The UN Agenda 21 Plan is to incrementally turn the whole Olympic Peninsula into a wildlife park for the wolves (the Wildlands Plan) with a one world government/order. America as we know it must be fundamentally changed (paraphrase of George Soros) to achieve these goals. The DoE's NNL and increased setbacks are just a fraction of this nutty utopian agenda. (This is more information than most will tolerate. Despite the insanity of this plan, it is incrementally unfolding during our short watch. The DoE's NNL and increased setbacks are as much as they feel they can pull-off/ get-by-with on this pass.) All of this eco-bull (eco-extremism) is extremely expensive and self-destructive. This DoE idiocy is unsustainable; we can't afford to support this central government spawned dysfunctional destructive agenda.

A strong economy is essential to good stewardship/protection of the environment. The unintended consequences of continuing on the current DoE's partisan course is to degrade both. This Sham should be

rejected. **Until sanity returns to our government and our out-of-control governmental agencies, we should make no further changes in the SMA/SMP.**

Karl Spees, MD (Retired) Student of Natural History, Citizen of Clallam County