

Merrill, Hannah

From: Jay Petersen PE [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, November 11, 2011 10:44 AM
To: jkramer.consulting@gmail.com
Cc: Merrill, Hannah
Subject: Clallam County shoreline designations

Jim,

I would like to give you a few thoughts on the draft SED's

1. The draft use table uses the word prohibited for many items. I would rather see conditional uses for shoreline armoring and other items rather than prohibited. For example, the waterfront trail is currently being stabilized by adding armoring to the existing armoring. Per the draft plan all armoring would be prohibited. The same applies at the mouth of Morse creek. There are existing armoring to protect houses and drainfields. Per the draft this would be prohibited. A conditional use would allow specific review for individual projects. Many activities would be prohibited without really looking at the specifics. The narrative before the table uses wording such as "Discouraged or Prohibited"
2. The rivers on the west end do not have the SED's shown on the download.
3. In general, I support buffers similar to the existing shoreline master plan. The proposed buffers are large than the current plan. Specifically, freshwater residential has gone from 35 feet to 50 feet. IE Lake Sutherland.
4. If possible can the program be downloaded for public viewing. Possibly a dedicated computer and staff person to help people view the files. The google earth program is very memory intensive and a computer crasher. I sure many besides myself have had some frustration. This goes back to the idea of a large map showing the proposed designations. Perhaps such a map can be printed out and posted on a wall for easy viewing.
5. At some point the discussion of what is exempt, such a public works, utilities, etc should be discussed before the group.

I will see you at the next meeting. There should be a lively discussion

Jay Petersen PE
Civil Engineer