

Merrill, Hannah

From: earnest spees [REDACTED]
Sent: Saturday, November 05, 2011 7:55 PM
To: Karl Spees; zSMP; Merrill, Hannah
Subject: Public Comment : Oct 2011 Shoreline Master Program

Public Comment

This public comment was found in the Peninsula Daily News in the Friday - Saturday 28-29 Oct. 2011 Peninsula Voice. I wish to add it to the SMA/SMP public comments.

Shoreline Program by Tom LaRosa Friday-Saturday 28-29 Oct. 2011 in PDN - Peninsula Voice

I attended the Oct. 18 Clallam County commissioners meeting that dealt with the Shoreline Master Program with the specific intention of hearing a definition or explanation of the term "no net loss" and how it applies to the program.

Unfortunately, no such definition was forthcoming.

In keeping with regard to no net loss was unclear and without any foundation.

Accordingly, I have concluded that the term no net loss is thus far undefined, arbitrary and ambiguous.

There are no clear guidelines or practices established, thereby leaving final decisions relative to land usage to the whim of the Environmental Protection Agency, Department of Ecology and any other "alphabet organization" that would attempt to sacrifice our individual property rights on the altar of politically correct environmental protection.

Even a narrowly defined and explicit no-net-loss provision is likely to visit significant expense and inconvenience upon the affected landowners.

A vague and indeterminate definition will be catastrophic and will allow bureaucrats - both local and foreign - to run roughshod over our local citizens.

The term "no net loss" should be stricken from the Shoreline Master Program.

Or, better yet, just eliminate the program in its entirety.

I wish to comment on Mr. LaRosa's very logical, perceptive, and prudent observations and remarks.

There is a parallel here with the Democrat Cram-down of Obamacare. This law is extremely expensive and unpopular. It is contrary to the best interest of the public and the American Health Care System. Nancy Pelosi put a tag line on Obamacare that fits perfectly with the NNL, 'You got to pass it to find out what is in it.'

It is consistent with the trainload of insane environmental legislations that have

been imposed on us recently. These are pretexts for transferring the citizen's property rights to a central governing authority, a system that has repeatedly been shown to be dysfunctional and not work.

This is like signing a blank check and giving it to the EPA and DoE, two appointed government agencies with a solid records of acting against the best interests of the American citizens.

NNL should be 'eliminated entirely from the Program and stricken from the SMA/SMP.'

Karl Spees - Concerned Citizen of Clallam County & Member of the Shoreline Advisory Committee