

Merrill, Hannah

From: pearl hewett [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, October 20, 2011 4:30 PM
To: zSMP; Lois Perry; Sue Forde; Jay Petersen
Cc: Jo Anne Estes; harry bell; Jim McEntire; Marv Chastain
Subject: PROPOSED CLALLAM COUNTY SED'S

This is my SMP Update comment on the
PROPOSED CLALLAM COUNTY SED'S FOR the SMP UPDATE

Overall it is a good short set for a lot of Shoreline..
First question? Who's toes will you be stepping on by using this?
Will you be able to notify the private property owners that are **inadvertently compromised**?
Are there any single family residences, in any areas, where you have not specifically provided
comment on protection by Law?

Pearl Rains Hewett Trustee
George C. Rains Sr. Estate
Member SMP Advisory Committee

#1 FRESH WATER RESOURCE

Looks like **PRIVATE forest land**
covered by forest management act.

WHAT PUBLIC Land is under the SMP Update?

Prohibited or discouraged use

residential sub-divisions and armoring

#2 FRESHWATER RESIDENTIAL

Like Lake Sutherland

I thought the set back was 35 feet?

Are you increasing the set back to 50 feet? WHY?

Prohibited or discouraged use

Commercial and Industrial use that is not water dependent or related

Armoring, except when single family residence is **in imminent danger**.

BY Law there is NO mention of the words" imminent or danger or soft armoring"

**IN FACT THE LAW STATES, SHALL PROVIDE FOR METHODS TO achieve effective and timely
protection against loss or damage.**

PROTECTION FOR PRIVATE PROPERTY

Protection of single family residences

RCW 90.58.100

(6) **Each master program** shall contain standards governing the **protection of single family residences** and appurtenant structures against damage or loss due to shoreline erosion. The standards shall govern **the issuance of substantial development permits for shoreline protection**, including structural methods **such as construction of bulkheads**, and nonstructural methods of protection.

The standards shall provide for methods which achieve effective and timely protection against loss or damage to single family residences and appurtenant structures due to shoreline erosion.

The standards shall provide a preference for permit issuance for measures to protect single family residences occupied prior to January 1, 1992, where the proposed measure is designed to minimize harm to the shoreline natural environment.

#3 FRESHWATER CONSERVATIVE

Most of upper Dungeness?
restricted by zoning, lot size and or site constraints

Prohibited or discouraged use

Dense residential development subdivisions

Armoring see RCW 90.58.100

Protection of single family residences

Reword this, do not use imminent, danger or soft armoring

#4 FRESHWATER NATURAL

Like freshwater resource? with no Forest Management Act?

Prohibited or discouraged use

Armoring see RCW 90.58.100

Protection of single family residences

Reword this, do not use imminent, danger or soft armoring

New residential, Commercial and Industrial development

Utility and transportation LIKE ROADS? facilities that can be done elsewhere

5 LOW LAND ESTUARY

Like Salt Creek

Like Dungeness

Like flood plains?

Like wet land?

Like wet land habitat?

Like channel meander ?

Like shorelines of state wide significance?

Prohibited or discouraged use

Utility and transportation LIKE ROADS? facilities that can be done elsewhere

No armoring,

NO New residential, Commercial and Industrial development

If you have 200 foot setbacks? What has landward development of public roads got to do with this? Public Access?

#6 MODIFIED LOW LAND

Like Diamond Point

Like Three Crabs

Prohibited or discouraged use

Commercial and Industrial use that is not water dependent or related

Armoring see RCW 90.58.100

Protection of single family residences

Reword this, do not use, imminent, danger or soft armoring

#7. FEEDER BLUFFS

So if you build too close to the edge? Eventually your house will go over the cliff.

Using the wording "**Unacceptable risk to human life?**"

Seems to a bit **DRAMATIC** on an entire coastline of cliffs?

When would we ever find or use the wording "**Acceptable risk to human life?**"

Shall we prohibit mountain climbing (like PUBLIC ACCESS to Mount Everest?)

HISTORICALLY

50 YEARS AGO ONE DRUNK TEENAGER FELL OFF A CLIFF AT SALT CREEK AND DIED

#7 A LESS DRAMATIC, MORE REALISTIC APPROACH TO FEEDER BLUFFS

If you build too close to the edge?

Eventually your house will fall over the bluff.

Sandy, sliding and eroding

Like 200 foot setbacks?

Like taking of property value by regulation?

Like critical areas?

PLUS 50 buffer foot zones?

like restricted removal **of trees?**

Like no removal of vegetation?

Prohibited or discouraged use

Public access shoreline armoring,

NO New residential, Commercial and Industrial development

No New utilities and roads

#8. HIGH BANK

Prohibited or discouraged use

New shoreline armoring

utilities and roads that can be put somewhere else