

Merrill, Hannah

From: pearl hewett [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, October 20, 2011 1:57 PM
To: zSMP; Lois Perry; Sue Forde; Jo Anne Estes; Jay Petersen
Cc: harry bell; Marv Chastain; Jim McEntire
Subject: RCW 90.58.100 shall provide protection

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN

I submit this as my comment to the proposed SED

Pearl Rains Hewett Trustee
George C. Rains Sr. Estate
Member SMP Advisory Committee

PROPOSED # 2 FRESHWATER RESIDENTIAL

Like Lake Sutherland

I thought the set back was 35 feet?

Are you increasing the set back to 50 feet? WHY?

Prohibited or discouraged use

Armoring, except when single family residence is **in imminent danger.**

BY Law there is NO mention of the words" imminent or danger or soft armoring"

IN FACT THE LAW STATES, SHALL PROVIDE FOR METHODS TO achieve effective and timely protection against loss or damage.

Is this another WAC overstepping it's authority and the LAW?

Read on if you are interested

PROTECTION FOR PRIVATE PROPERTY

Protection of single family residences

2

RCW 90.58.100

(6) **Each master program** shall contain standards governing the **protection of single family residences** and appurtenant structures against damage or loss due to shoreline erosion. The standards shall govern **the issuance of substantial development permits for shoreline protection**, including structural methods **such as construction of bulkheads**, and nonstructural methods of protection.

The standards shall provide for methods which achieve effective and timely protection against loss or damage to single family residences and appurtenant structures due to shoreline erosion.

The standards shall provide a preference for permit

issuance for measures to protect single family residences occupied prior to January 1, 1992, where the proposed measure is designed to minimize harm to the shoreline natural environment.