

Merrill, Hannah

From: pearl hewett [REDACTED]
Sent: Saturday, September 10, 2011 9:24 AM
To: zSMP
Cc: earnest spees; Jo Anne Estes
Subject: PUBLIC COMMENT REPORT ON SMP Public Forum July 14, 2011

I submit this documentation of PUBLIC CONCERNS on the Clallam County SMP update.
As a general public Comment
Pearl Rains Hewett
Trustee George C. Rains Sr. Estate
Invited SMP Citizens Advisory Committee Member

Thursday: July 14, 2011 - [SMP Public Forum](#), John Wayne Marina, 2577 West Sequim Bay Road, Sequim, 1:00-3:30 p.m.

With about 35 people in attendance The following questions were asked of Department of Community Development Steve Grey, Consultant Jim Kramer and ESA Nathan.

1. The purpose for the Shoreline inventory and characteristic report is?
2. The purpose for the SMP update is?
3. Mandate deadline for SMP update ?
4. What is the 200 foot set back?

Answer, the 200 foot set back is WA State law, development can occur within the 200 foot set back, with special permitting.

5. City, County SMP compliancy who's jurisdiction?
6. Request for slides of maps?
7. Where to send written comments?
8. Competency and reliability of ESA reports on, inventories, flood plains, critical areas, buffers and setbacks?
9. Will owners of the property be required, at their own expense, to prove the ESA data is inaccurate? when ESA has a disclaimer on the maps and "May be up to 100 feet off"?

Actual SMP map disclaimer ESA states:

Map data shown here are property of the listed sources, inaccuracies may exist, and ESA implies no warranties or guarantees regarding any aspect of data depiction.

Answer, Yes, property owner will have to disprove inaccurate data at their own expense.

10. What is the concept of law, regarding "NO NET LOSS?"
11. Is the shoreline inventory and characteristic report to determine the base line for loss and consequences with regard to "NO NET LOSS?"
12. An additional question on property will be required, at their own expense? to prove the ESA Map data is inaccurate?

13. Comment, SPM, good information, good access and good to have public involvement at meetings.
14. Many web sites, which one do I use?
15. Development, with regard to loss of wildlife habitat?
16. Do you use any of the things learned by Jefferson County SMP guidelines? Reports related to It created levels of public anxiety?
17. Will Clallam County just rubber stamp other SMP's information and just do it any way?
18. Is the SMP udate just cut and dried?
19. Will we have input, considering that the impaired water quality on the lower Dungeness has been proven to be 75% bird poop and 25% people related?
 Answer, we can't control the birds and can just focus on what can be controlled.
 As, Pearl Rains Hewett I had a problem being recognized by Steve Grey and Jim Kramer to be allowed to speak.
 It was not mentioned that I was a member of the **Invited** SMP update committee.
 I made (2) comments and asked (1) question during the 2 1/2 hour meeting.
 My comment, Per HB 1478 after receiving a grant for the SMP, a county has 2 years to complete their update.
 My comment, shrinking open space habitat has forced animals to use freshwater reaches for travel, causing further non- people related impairment of water quality.
20. My question, How is permitting usage done on the 200' set back required by WA State law? By the County? Shoreline exemption Permit? Or running the full gauntlet with the DOE?
21. Questioning the validity of the predicted 18,000 increase in population growth?
22. How does the SMP setback affect our out buildings? rebuild, improve, maintenance?
 Answer, non-conforming improve, maintenance, no problem. If over 50% of a non-conforming structure burns down, no rebuilding is permitted.
23. Does the same apply to bulkheads?
 Answer, maintain, repair ok, but eventually DOE wants all removed. There is protection for single family dwellings. The DOE wants soft bulkheads.
24. Where do you expect the population increase?
25. Flexibility of shellfish protection, shoreline uses, setbacks?
26. How will the merging of the Shoreline inventory and Characteristics with zoning be handled?
 Answer, fairly, East to West. Forest land is not the same as conservative.
27. Suspicious of DOE/ SMP pattern of control, start with minimum impact, go to NO NET LOSS, go to enhance, end up with restoration?
 Answer, our duty is to protect, restoration is not required by law.
28. "IF NOT YET? Then when?
 Answer, Priorities for restoration, Elwha, remove homes, Success of restoration of Jimmy Come Lately Creek, Elwha move levees back.
29. Comment and Question, only a 200 foot set back? Shouldn't it be more like 300 feet?
 Answer, Sometimes it is, take the flood plain add the wet land and it can be more.

The questions are for documentation of PUBLIC CONCERN on the SMP update.

Pearl Rains Hewett

Trustee George C. Rains Sr. Estate
Invited SMP Citizens Advisory Committee Member