

Merrill, Hannah

From: pearl hewett [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, July 12, 2011 8:03 AM
To: zSMP
Subject: Fw: COMMENT ON WRIA 17-19 SHORELINE INVENTORY AND CHARACTERISTICS

----- Original Message -----

From: [pearl hewett](#)
To: [Jo Anne Estes](#) ; [harry bell](#) ; [Kai Ahlburg](#)
Sent: Tuesday, July 12, 2011 7:30 AM
Subject: COMMENT ON WRIA 17-19 SHORELINE INVENTORY AND CHARACTERISTICS

COMMENT ON WRIA 17-19 SHORELINE INVENTORY AND CHARACTERISTICS

On the 18 Marine reaches

Contaminated sites

they found (3)

Impaired water quality sites (12)

Impaired water caused **by temperature** (4)

On the 64 Freshwater reaches

Contaminated sites

(1) contaminate site on the R3 Dungeness,
Several on R1 Elwha (how many is several?)

(2) on the R2 on the Hoko.

(29) impaired water quality sites (based on how many factors?)

(34) sites are described as impaired water caused by temperature.

As far as I can figure out, the water in Clallam County is impaired because it is not cold enough.

COLD ENOUGH?

Based on their own reports and data, the amount of tree canopy, logging, development and public access **are NOT factors in the impaired water temperature?**

Perhaps 50 years ago the water WAS cold enough?

Do they really think that throwing logs in the water and removing barriers will change the temperature of the water?

Changing Climate is a scientific fact. (NOT GLOBAL WARMING)

Logging a piece of commercial forest land property every 50 to 60 years is NOT the cause of the impaired water temperature on the 34 sites identified.

Pearl Rains Hewett