

Merrill, Hannah

From: earnest spees [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, June 01, 2011 9:32 PM
To: Merrill, Hannah
Cc: zSMP; Karl Spees
Subject: Fw: Public Input - SMP Update - SMP Update Rigged Process

On the remote chance you did not enter this in my SMP Update Comments, I am submitting it again.
Karl

Public Hearing Citizen Input - Rigged SMP Update 5/29/11

The Shoreline Master Program Update is rigged. NNL & larger setbacks do not represent the 'will of the people'. It does not protect the rights of the citizens. It does fundamentally change the nature of citizens' private property ownership rights. It is a vague non-scientific policy that is most consistent with a substitute religion. It is a surreptitious effort to take away citizens ownership and private property rights. It is much more consistent with Marxism and the Communist Manifesto than the Washington State Constitution.

The outcome of the new Clallam County Shoreline Update Plan has been predetermined. The SMP Update Advisory Committee is merely a sham to lend legitimacy to their pre-ordained decision.

1. The DoE makes the recommendations to the process and then accepts or rejects the elected officials plan. If they don't like us rejecting their Radical Environmental Activist Plan, they will impose it anyway.
2. The two Democrat County Commissioners have a record of walking lock-step with the State's Central Ruling Party. Commissioner Tharinger takes Radical Environmental Activism to the next higher level.
3. The Commissioners revealed their bias and closed the deal when they appointed ESA Adolfson, a demonstrated advocate of the Radical Environmental Activist Plan of the DoE. The Radical Environmentalist have a record of using predictable group dynamics to achieve a consensus that is contrary to the Citizens best interest but appears to be democratic. The ESA Adolfson just helped engineer and the imposed a carbon copy unacceptable SMP Update on Jefferson County. They are here in Clallam County to do more of the same. This is clearly a 'selection bias' with a predetermined unacceptable outcome.
4. \$600,000 of our public funds/taxes/money are being used to put on a sham public hearing process. The outcome could be produced by a minimum wage filing clerk with a Xerox machine.

5. No doubt the Commissioners will make a few token concessions to distract the public from their disregard of the will and rights of the people. (There will always be a few vocal radical environmentalist who will applaud their misrepresentation of the people's Constitutional rights.)

6. It is my impression the Citizens Shoreline Update Advisory group will play an extremely minor roll in the Public Input process as compared to the County Advisory Committee. I am familiar with an outspoken radical environmentalist from San Francisco currently serving on that Committee, who brings his San Francisco value system to the table. I do not doubt that his radical environmental activism will carry great weight on that major source of 'public input'.

7. The NNL Policy, expanded set-backs or buffers, and new forced access of the public to private property should be rejected out of hand.

Karl Spees - CAPR representative to the SMP Update Advisory Committee